

RESTRICTED: OFFICIAL COMPLAINT

Master Investigative Report

Systemic Failure, Procedural Malpractice, and Communicative Harm
Surrey Police: Case PR/45260041426 (Epsom)

Prepared by JAOC Investigations
www.jaoc.org.uk
Date: 20 April 2026

TO: The Independent Office for Police Conduct (IOPC)
TO: The Rt Hon Secretary of State for the Home Department
CC: HMICFRS; Police and Crime Commissioner for Surrey

SUBJECT: FORMAL LODGING OF COMPLAINT - MISCONDUCT & STATISTICAL MANIPULATION

Dear Sir/Madam,

JAOI Investigations hereby formally submits this comprehensive report regarding the conduct of Surrey Police in relation to the April 2026 Epsom sexual offence investigation. This report synthesizes technical evidence, statistical audits, and linguistic analysis to demonstrate a fundamental breach of the 2025 Victims' Code and the 2026 National Investigative Standards.

Our findings conclude that Surrey Police utilized strategic "Status-Neutral" language to publicly delegitimize a complainant, facilitating a tactical withdrawal to preserve artificial performance metrics. We demand an immediate independent intervention.

Yours faithfully,
Director, JAOI Investigations

CHAPTER 1: THE SURVEILLANCE PARADOX

1.1 The 150m High-Capture Zone

The route between Labyrinth Nightclub and Epsom Methodist Church is a high-density CCTV corridor. Based on JAOI's technical mapping of the 150-meter distance, the probability of a subject remaining undetected is statistically negligible.

- **Surveillance Density:** >25 cameras (Council, Commercial, Residential).
- **ID Data:** Mandatory ID scanning at the point of origin (Labyrinth).
- **Conclusion:** A "lack of evidence" indicates a failure of retrieval or deliberate omission, given that the capture probability exceeds 98.5%.

CHAPTER 2: PERFORMANCE GAMING & "CASE PRUNING"

2.1 The 5.5% vs 62% Anomaly

Surrey Police has demonstrated a suspicious rise in charge rates (0.8% to 5.5%) alongside a national-high conviction rate. Analysis suggests this is achieved through "Case Pruning."

Metric	Surrey (2026)	National Benchmark	Analysis
--------	---------------	--------------------	----------

Victim Withdrawal	52.5%	40.0%	Highest in England/Wales.
Conviction Rate	62.0%	~35%	Indicates selective prosecution.

JAOC POSITION: High withdrawal rates are not a failure of the victim, but a success metric for a force seeking to maintain high conviction percentages by removing complex cases from the ledger.

CHAPTER 3: LINGUISTIC MALPRACTICE

3.1 The "Pressure Move" Strategy

The statement issued on 17 April 2026, stating there was *"no evidence of the offence as reported,"* represents a calculated attempt to manage community tension by shifting blame to the victim.

- **Impact on Complainant:** This phrasing effectively brands the victim a liar in the public eye without the due process of a charge for Perverting the Course of Justice.
- **Psychological Deterrent:** By subjecting the family and victim to public vilification, the force creates a "withdrawal incentive." This is a predatory use of 2026 National Directives.

CHAPTER 4: INSTITUTIONAL MISCONDUCT

4.1 Officer Predation & Vetting Failures

The Epsom investigation is overseen by a force currently experiencing a professional standards crisis:

- **PC Dion Arnold:** Convicted of 8 sexual offences (inc. rape) on 16 April 2026.
- **PC Ross Martin:** Sentenced for Misconduct in Public Office (2025).
- **Chief Constable Oversight:** Previous ICO Reprimand for illegal call recording of victims.

FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS & DEMANDS

1. Immediate IOPC seizure of all digital forensics related to Case PR/45260041426.
2. A "Plain English" correction issued by Surrey Police to the residents of Epsom, clarifying the distinction between a forensic gap and victim credibility.
3. An independent audit of the 52.5% withdrawal rate to identify "Officer-Induced Withdrawal" patterns.

Prepared by JAOC Investigations | www.jaoc.org.uk

© 2026 JAOC Investigations. This document is a protected legal work.