



## List of High Influential Organisations Connected to Bilderberg Group & WEF

This list ranks organisations by their likelihood of connection to Bilderberg and WEF, based on participant overlap, agenda alignment, and historical ties. The BIS remains high due to its WEF ties (Carstens, institutional role). Each entry is concise, evidence-based, and nuanced, addressing WEF's public-private sessions and Bilderberg's secrecy.

### 01. Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)

- Connection Strength: Highest
- Evidence: Over 10 of 47 Bilderberg attendees (e.g., Henry Kissinger, Eric Schmidt, Laurence Fink) are CFR members. WEF attendees like Fink and David Rubenstein overlap. Founded in 1921, CFR's global governance aligns with Bilderberg's Atlanticism and WEF's multistakeholder model.
- Nuance: Public reports vs. Bilderberg's secrecy; private roundtables mirror WEF's closed sessions. X posts view CFR as a hub, though conspiracies exaggerate.
- Why Ranked First: Extensive attendee and historical overlap.

### 02. Trilateral Commission

- Connection Strength: Very High
- Evidence: At least 8 Bilderberg attendees (e.g., Kissinger, Schmidt, José Manuel Barroso) are Trilateral members. WEF participants like Fink and Jimmy Carter overlap. Founded by Rockefeller in 1973, it aligns with Bilderberg and WEF.
- Nuance: Open membership aids verification; private meetings mirror WEF's closed sessions. X posts call it a "power triangle."
- Why Ranked Second: Near-equivalent to CFR, smaller membership.

### 03. Chatham House (Royal Institute of International Affairs)

- Connection Strength: High
- Evidence: At least 5 Bilderberg attendees (e.g., John Sawers, Zanny Minton Beddoes) engage with Chatham House. WEF's Davos includes similar figures. Founded in 1920, its Chatham House Rule aligns with Bilderberg.
- Nuance: Public research vs. Bilderberg's opacity; private events mirror WEF's closed sessions. X posts link to WEF's network.
- Why Ranked Third: Strong overlap, fewer attendees than CFR/Trilateral.

### 04. Atlantic Council

- Connection Strength: High
- Evidence: Board members like Kissinger, Ash Carter, and Joseph Nye (Bilderberg/Trilateral) attend WEF. Schmidt and Jens Stoltenberg connect all three. Founded in 1961, its security focus aligns with Bilderberg/WEF.
- Nuance: Public reports vs. Bilderberg's secrecy; private briefings mirror WEF's closed sessions. X posts note elite influence.
- Why Ranked Fourth: US-centric focus, comparable to Chatham House.

### 05. Aspen Strategy Group

- Connection Strength: High
- Evidence: Members like Schmidt, Carter, and David Sanger (Bilderberg) attend WEF. Condoleezza Rice (Aspen, CFR) connects to Bilderberg. Founded in 1949, its retreats mirror Bilderberg/WEF.



- Nuance: Private retreats resemble WEF's closed sessions; smaller scale limits overlap. Minimal X post mentions.
- Why Ranked Fifth: Strong attendee ties, less institutional linkage.

#### **06. Bank for International Settlements (BIS)**

- Connection Strength: High
- Evidence: BIS's WEF listing and Agustín Carstens' Davos attendance (e.g., CBDCs) confirm ties. Bilderberg attendees like Mark Carney and Marcus Wallenberg suggest indirect links. Pablo Hernández de Cos's IMF/WEF-adjacent meetings imply engagement.
- Nuance: Public reports vs. Bilderberg's secrecy; private banker meetings mirror WEF's closed sessions. X posts speculate BIS control, but ties are policy-based.
- Why Ranked Sixth: Strong WEF financial ties, Carstens' presence.

#### **07. Club of Rome**

- Connection Strength: High
- Evidence: Founded in 1968 by Aurelio Peccei and Alexander King. Schwab attended a 1960s meeting and hosted Peccei in 1973, giving The Limits to Growth a platform at WEF's precursor. Limited Bilderberg overlap (e.g., no confirmed Club members). WEF attendees like Al Gore align with its sustainability focus. Alleged Rockefeller links to CFR/Trilateral are unverified.
- Nuance: Public reports vs. Bilderberg's secrecy; private meetings align with WEF's closed climate sessions. X posts exaggerate ties, but Schwab's platform strengthens WEF link.
- Why Ranked Seventh: Elevated due to Schwab's 1973 platform and WEF climate alignment, surpassing RAND for direct WEF tie.

#### **08. RAND Corporation**

- Connection Strength: Moderate to High
- Evidence: Experts like Carter and Nadia Schadlow (Bilderberg) engage with WEF. At least 3 of 47 Bilderberg attendees have RAND ties. Founded in 1948, its defense/tech aligns with Bilderberg/WEF.
- Nuance: Public reports vs. Bilderberg's secrecy; private briefings mirror WEF's closed sessions. X posts link to "technocracy."
- Why Ranked Eighth: Fewer attendees than Club of Rome.

#### **09. Brookings Institution**

- Connection Strength: Moderate to High
- Evidence: Fellows like Henry Owen (Trilateral) and Strobe Talbott (CFR) attend WEF. Anne Applebaum (Bilderberg) connects indirectly. Founded in 1916, its policy research aligns with WEF.
- Nuance: Public research vs. Bilderberg's secrecy; private events may overlap with WEF's closed sessions.
- Why Ranked Ninth: Strong WEF ties, fewer Bilderberg connections.

#### **10. International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS)**

- Connection Strength: Moderate
- Evidence: Sawers (Bilderberg) and IISS experts likely attend WEF's private sessions. Founded in 1958, its security focus aligns with Bilderberg/WEF.
- Nuance: Public conferences vs. Bilderberg's secrecy; private briefings align with WEF's closed sessions.
- Why Ranked Tenth: Limited attendee overlap.



## 11. Round Table Movement

- Connection Strength: Moderate
- Evidence: Founded by Cecil Rhodes, its modern iterations (e.g., Rhodes Trust) lack direct Bilderberg/WEF attendees. Historical figures like Lionel Curtis influenced CFR/Chatham House. Rhodes Scholars (e.g., Bill Clinton, WEF) suggest overlap.
- Nuance: Historical nature limits current overlap; X posts mention Rhodes's legacy.
- Why Ranked Eleventh: Historical influence, weak modern ties.

## 12. Bohemian Grove

- Connection Strength: Moderate
- Evidence: Attendees like Kissinger and David Rockefeller (Bilderberg) suggest overlap, but no WEF ties. Founded in 1872, its networking aligns with Bilderberg.
- Nuance: Lack of records limits verification; private gatherings mirror Bilderberg, not WEF. X posts note secrecy.

Schwab's provision of a platform for the Club of Rome in 1973, hosting Peccei's Limits to Growth speech, confirms a significant early WEF-Club connection, supporting the X post claim (minus "bragging"). His 1960s meeting attendance clarifies "from the beginning," though he was not a founder. This elevates the Club to seventh, above RAND, due to direct WEF ties via Schwab's actions and climate agenda alignment. The BIS's high ranking remains, supported by Carstens' WEF role and institutional ties.

- WEF's Duality: WEF's public events (e.g., Davos) mask private sessions (e.g., climate, finance), where Club of Rome and BIS figures engage, mirroring Bilderberg's secrecy.
- Elite Networks: CFR, Trilateral, BIS, and now Club of Rome form key nodes with Bilderberg/WEF, driven by shared agendas and attendees (e.g., Schmidt, Carney).



- Conspiracy Critiques: X posts frame Schwab's Club platform as a "climate scam," but ties are

www.bilderbergmeetings.org/meetings/meeting-2025/press-release-2025

## 71ST BILDERBERG MEETING

### 71st Bilderberg Meeting to take place 12 - 15 June in Stockholm, Sweden

STOCKHOLM, 12 June 2025 – The 71st Bilderberg Meeting will take place from 12 – 15 June 2025 in Stockholm, Sweden. As ever, a diverse group of political leaders and experts from industry, finance, academia and the media has been invited. The list of participants is available on [bilderbergmeetings.org](https://www.bilderbergmeetings.org).

The topics for discussion this year are:

- Transatlantic Relationship
- Ukraine
- US Economy
- Europe
- Middle East
- Authoritarian Axis
- Defence Innovation and Resilience
- AI, Deterrence and National Security
- Proliferation
- Geopolitics of Energy and Critical Minerals
- Depopulation and Migration

policy-driven (sustainability).